



July 5, 2022 * RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

**CITY OF PACIFIC
 REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN
 300 HOVEN
 PACIFIC, MISSOURI 63069**

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Mayor Filley. The meeting was held at City Hall. The Public was able to attend in person and was streamed live on the City of Pacific YouTube channel. Mayor Filley welcomed everyone to the meeting.

A roll call was taken with the following results:

Present at Roll Call: Alderman Nemeth
 Alderman Cleeve
 Alderman Gendron
 Alderman Presley
 Alderman Eversmeyer
 Alderman Lesh

Also present: Administrator Roth Attorney Jones
 Chief Melies Community Development Director Myers

Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was given.

Prayer

Pastor Tim Reeves, Ministerial Alliance, offered prayer this evening.

Approve Agenda

Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Nemeth to approve the agenda. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result, and Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

Minutes

A. Regular meeting on June 21, 2022

Motion made by Alderman Eversmeyer, seconded by Alderman Gendron to approve the minutes of the Board of Aldermen 7-5-2022

regular meeting on June 21, 2022. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result, and Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

Presentations

- a. Presentation of Mayor Commission, Officer Michael Soriano.

Mayor Filley presented Michael Soriano with an oath of office and welcomed him.

Public Hearing

- A. A Public Hearing to hear comments on an application for a rezoning of 8.002 acres owned by Barbara A Alt, Section 14 Twn: 4 Range: 2E, known as Lot 1 Alt Place PT SE SE, N of Industrial Drive, Pacific, Missouri (Franklin County Parcel # 19-6-14.0-0-034-036.200) and the 40 acres owned by Barbara Alt and John Timothy Alt, Diana Kathleen and Phillip Bradley Alt, known as Log NW SE Sec: 14 Twn: 43 Rng 2E, Pacific, MO (Franklin County Parcel ID: 19-6-14.0-0-099-035.100). Applicant requesting rezoning of both parcels to M-1 Light Industrial. Property currently zoned "N-U" Non-Urban District.

City Clerk Barfield read the public hearing into the record. Mayor Filley opened the public hearing for comments. Speakers will be limited to five minutes.

Paul Alt, 1829 Denton Road, Pacific, MO stated he wanted to clear up that we are Alt Farm and not asking for the re-zoning, as it says in the city administrator report. It is Barbara Alt and her children. They never received a letter on the rezoning, although we attended the Planning & Zoning meeting though. The property to the east of the rezoning needs to have a continuous fence on the property border, which was talked about at Planning & Zoning. He would like the fence completed before construction starts. He spoke to the future owner and they agreed to work with him. The terraces on the property will be cut in half. There could be a protentional issue of water flow coming on the property. He wants to make sure this is addressed. A second entrance was also discussed, and he could see where this could relieve protentional congestion, but the city needs to make sure the developer creates a road off of Industrial Drive to accommodate the additional traffic so a second entrance is not needed. The preliminary plan shows requiring 1.78 acres of their property to make the road feasible, he don't understand how a plan can be made and shared of his property when nothing has been done to acquire it yet. There are numerous suggestions from the city on how our property needs to be developed. Our family has been established for over 100 years. If and when we sell our property, we will put them on the list as one who has made prior offers. We accept change and welcome growth, but the plan has to be executed to the highest extent, as there is not much property left to develop.

Gary Meadows, 2010 Hwy N, Pacific, MO is in opposition of this and he spoke in opposition at the Planning & Zoning meeting also. He continued that all the comments at that meeting were negative. He reviewed the application and there are three owners listed, but only one applied for the application. Is only one owner required on the application? As part of the application the applicant is supposed to describe the estimated impact on the surrounding properties. There is not one answer to this question on the application. The reason for that he thinks, is because there is a large number of issues, including the water issue. We live to the west of this development. He then passed out some documents. On the first page he noted where the creek is which is west of the Alt property and the creeks feeds from a

number of properties. The Hwy N project will increase the burden. The creek runs toward Westlake and a forested area. What will happen when the natural waterflow is destroyed. It will backflow to his property, the property to the south, and then to Westlake. The 2nd page shows a new drainage easement from the storage unit. On page 7 this is a measure of the topographical of the way the land slopes and it flows downhill to the north. Any water they don't account for will go to someone else's property. We are absolutely opposed to this and industrial development in general. If approved the space should be limited or prohibit the developer to not touch the forested area.

Anna Meadows, 2010 Hwy N, Pacific, MO and actually lives here, who also passed out paperwork. She has serious water concerns. She received a preliminary conceptual map today, and little has been done to address the problems except for retention ponds. The creek is totally disregarded. If the creek is disturbed major water issues would occur. This was also discussed with Jerry and Chuck at the soil conservation office in Union. They reviewed the documents and they agreed it would do nothing but do harm. The other issue is the additional strain put on the creek due to the Hwy N project. Last Friday it rained for half a day and the creek was flooded. Heavy flooding will occur on her property and her neighbors. She is asking the creek and existing waterways not to be disturbed and drainage issues addressed beforehand.

Larry Wallis, 1682 West Lake Court, stated his property backs up to the 40 acres proposed. Currently there is a row of trees called the Commons area. He believes there is a misunderstanding as the developer thinks this can be used as part of the buffer zone. Something else would need to be done as they belong to the subdivision. There is a 6 x 6 woven fence that designates the property line of the subdivision. A buffer zone would have to go out more into the field. The lot to the east of his house there is a drainage system with an in section that picks up water out of the tree area and farm ground. The farm ground slopes to the south and drains to the north, already picking up water from the subdivision and he is not sure the pipe sizes are big enough. He also suggested including in the plans that when the lots are laid out that each lot should be made to install detection basins for their parking lots. He hasn't had any flooding issues so far, but others have. A good study of the potential water problems should be done. He used to work in civil engineering, he is aware of the process.

Joyce Ronholdt, 1698 Westlake Lake Court, during the rain recently we received 1.5 inches. There is a culvert to the west, that you can hear it rushing. We sit up from the culvert. The other side of the culvert the home floods over her backyard. In speaking to Mr. Conway, he indicated he had a buffer of 50', this was the HOA's trees. He was going to come up to the fence lines, but we explained those trees belonged to the HOA, they weren't his. We asked for more of a buffer at Planning & Zoning, he then said he would go to 30'. She wanted the board to understand that 50' is the HOA's property. They are asking that this is not be approved.

Dan Conway, Conway Contracting, 3001 Spruce Street, St. Louis Mo 63103, stated he submitted a conceptual plan and submitted. There was a lot of good feedback. What you see in this area, there hasn't been a lot of engineering in this area. There are not a lot of detention basins installed creating existing issues. We are putting in approximately five detention basins and following the city's current standards and codes. Water is running everywhere. We are showing you what we are going to do. The trees that are in the buffer are staying and creating a 50' buffer, and the water is shown how it will flow off the property. We are capturing the water, which is not happening now. There is a chance this development will improve the condition. He is a civil engineer and the way we are approaching this is a benefit. The impact is a going to be good and will help solve some of these issues. There are a lot of

things missing out there. We are doing what we should be doing and following good engineering practices.

Robert Van Allen, Continental Products, 6 Midwest Drive, Pacific MO passed out information. The first picture is of his plant when we get a heavy rain, the second picture is down Industrial Drive to Midwest. He has been fighting this battle since the 90's. It's expensive, when it does happen. Behind the pictures are engineering reports from Cochran that reports the problems in the Dailey Industrial Park and basically everything is undersized. There is a huge problem that he does not want added to but it would be nice to fix it. He would actually like to buy a lot here.

Janet Hawkins, lives in Westlake. The creek fills up and eventually will take down her fence. She has asked the city for help, the homeowners association and the county. The more water the more trouble for her.

Gary Meadows, stated the topo he provided shows the property tips to the north. There is nothing on the plan that takes care of water to the north. The water will dump into the forest line.

Dan Conway, stated the engineer we have is Cochran. They are looking further south to this part and understand the water issues. We are trying to be good stewards and not have water issues in this development. In the corner he was speaking of, there is a detention basin. There will still be overflow from the original water. The goal is to capture the flow from this development and keep the difference of runoff the same. We are not eliminating a creek and the goal is not to influence the creek anymore.

There being no further public comments, the public hearing was closed.

Public Participation

Sam Dean, Pacific Partnership, 251 Northwoods Drive, Pacific, MO. Supporting material was handed out which was in the packets. The letter of request was changed which is including a \$ 1,000 request. Last November he submitted a grant to Phillips 66. They have a pipeline project coming through Pacific and they are looking for ways to give back to communities that they are impacting. The grant submitted was for the safety aspect in regards to our events downtown on an annual basis. On many occasions people park in the commuter lot and walk across the tracks, where they are not supposed to, to get to the event area. The city installs caution tape and snow fencing to prevent people from crossing. This is a real danger concern; in the evening it is dark. The application was approved for \$ 25,000 less the transfer fee. This is to cover a chain link fence to be erected on the site. The map of the location is on the drawing. Bids were received for 1,650 feet of fence. This would cover the north, south and west portion of commuter lot and the north portion of the train viewing lot. The contractor was approved today by the Partnership, and is a local company. They are asking to install the fence on the city property and request the difference of \$ 1,000 between the quoted amount and the grant. This is on the agenda for later this evening.

Melissa Layton, 214 S. Third, Pacific, MO she has an issue with the Plow Facility going next door to her home. This is a small lot and backs up to the commuter lot. This is not a big area. On the site plan the building looks to be big. Last week they spoke of a fence being installed. The water issue is still an issue when it rains and this lot runs off to her. It already goes to her basement, but has managed so far. She read over the codes; the square footage of the property states the lot is too small for the building.

Looking at the site plan, she believes there should be 10' from her home, but it does not appear to be there. She's not opposed to something being here, but would like to see an actual plan. She asked they consider this before they approve.

New Bills

Bill No. 5148 An Ordinance revising the terms of the members of the Tax Increment Financing Commission in the City of Pacific. (1st reading)

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5148 by title only into the record. Mayor Filley asked for a sponsor. Sponsor: Alderman Presley.

Bill No. 5149 An Ordinance revising the composition of the Park Board in the City of Pacific. (1st reading)

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5149 by title only into the record. Mayor Filley asked for a sponsor. Sponsor: Alderman Eversmeyer.

Bill No. 5150 An Ordinance approving a Zoning Change (Map Amendment) from NU Non-Urban to M-1 Light Industrial for two tracts of land totaling approximately 48 acres and being generally described as Lot 1, Alt Place, Franklin County Parcel ID 19-6-14.0-0-034-036.200 (8-acre tract) and Franklin County Parcel ID 19-6-14.0-0-099-035.100 (40-acre tract) being generally located at Industrial Drive, Pacific MO (1st reading)

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5150 by title only into the record. Mayor Filley asked for a sponsor. Sponsor: Alderman Eversmeyer.

Bill No. 5151 An Ordinance authorizing a Conditional Use Permit for property located at 210 South Third Street, Franklin County Parcel ID 19-1-12.0-4-003-081.100, in the City of Pacific (1st reading)

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5151 by title only into the record. Mayor Filley asked for a sponsor. Sponsor: Alderman Nemeth.

Alderman Nemeth asked if we take comments on the first reading. Attorney Jones stated the bill that was just read in regards to the zoning change, was just a zoning change. We have no project plans for it at this time. Perhaps, some of that discussion may be premature at this time. Alderman Nemeth understood and stated if his question was allowed, in regards to the notification letter. He asked how many notification letters were sent out. Administrator Roth stated Mr. Alt did not receive a letter and realized it before the Planning & Zoning hearing. It was a mistake on the community development clerk. He did not have an exact number on how many weren't out.

Bill No. 5152 An Ordinance providing for a waiver of the sidewalk requirement at 1403 W. St. Louis St. (1st reading)

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5152 by title only into the record. Mayor Filley asked for a sponsor. Sponsor: Alderman Eversmeyer.

Mayor Filley stated bills are read for the first time and at the next meeting they will be read again and voted on.

Consideration of Bills Previously Introduced

Bill No. 5144 An Ordinance prohibiting the operation or parking of unregistered vehicles on roadways in the City of Pacific. (2nd reading) Sponsor: Eversmeyer

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5144 by title only for the second reading. **Motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to approve Bill No. 5144.** Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. **A roll call vote was taken with the following results: Ayes: Alderman Nemeth, Alderman Cleeve, Alderman Gendron, Alderman Presley, Alderman Eversmeyer, Alderman Lesh. Nays: none. Whereupon; Mayor Filley declared Bill No. 5144 passed 6-0 and becomes Ordinance No. 3326.**

Bill No. 5145 An Ordinance vacating a section of North Elm Street located south of East Union Street and north of the Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way. (2nd reading) Sponsor: Presley

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5145 by title only for the second reading. **Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Eversmeyer to approve Bill No. 5145.** Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. Alderman Cleeve asked if there was any cost associated to the applicant, as they receive land. Was the road there in the past? If a road is not used and more valuable, is the city compensated. Administrator Roth stated the city code states if owners on both sides of the road petition for the vacation, in this instance it is the same owner, the city can vacate. There is no compensation. Attorney Jones stated this is to vacate right of way, initially platted. Once no longer a city street, this is excess right of way and part of a street not needed anymore. This vacation ordinance is not set up for that, and if there were any fees it would be up to the adjoining parcel owner to do that. Alderman Eversmeyer stated we have done this before; one was behind Bay's ET. Alderman Lesh asked if it wasn't a right of way if one of them gets sold off, if divided in half, would there be access issues. Attorney Jones stated we wouldn't approve anything where there is no access to the land. **A roll call vote was taken with the following results: Ayes: Alderman Cleeve, Alderman Gendron, Alderman Presley, Alderman Eversmeyer, Alderman Lesh, Alderman Nemeth. Nays: none. Whereupon; Mayor Filley declared Bill No. 5145 passed 6-0 and becomes Ordinance No. 3327.**

Bill No. 5146 An Ordinance repealing the requirement of vehicle registration for vehicles in the City of Pacific (2nd reading) Sponsor: Nemeth.

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5146 by title only for the second reading. **Motion made by Alderman Nemeth, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to approve Bill No. 5146.** Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. **A roll call vote was taken with the following results: Ayes: Alderman Gendron, Alderman Presley, Alderman Eversmeyer, Alderman Lesh, Alderman Nemeth, Alderman Cleeve. Nays: none. Whereupon, Mayor Filley declared Bill No. 5146 passed 6-0 and becomes Ordinance No. 3328.**

Bill No. 5147 An Ordinance changing the name of Route 66 Marketplace Road to Bosse Drive and establishing stop signs and a speed limit thereon. (2nd reading) Sponsor: Presley.

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Bill No. 5147 by title only for the second reading. **Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Eversmeyer to approve Bill No. 5147. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A roll call vote was taken with the following results: Ayes: Alderman Presley, Alderman Eversmeyer, Alderman Lesh, Alderman Nemeth, Alderman Cleeve, Alderman Gendron. Nays: none. Whereupon, Mayor Filley declared Bill No. 5147 passed 6-0 and becomes Ordinance No. 3329.**

Unfinished Business

New Business

- a. **Resolution No. 2022-42 A Resolution authorizing the filing of an application with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Financial Assistance Center's State ARPA Grant Programs for subaward of Federal Financial Assistance Provided to the State of Missouri by the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury"), pursuant to Section 602 (B) of the Social Security Act, as added by Section 9901 of the American Rescue Plan Act, Pub. L. No. 117-2 (March 1, 2021) 135 STAT. 4423-26.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Resolution No. 2022-42 by title only. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve Resolution No. 2022-42.** Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.**

- b. **Resolution No. 2022-43 A Resolution authorizing and directing the Mayor to execute a Contract Agreement for resurfacing of certain streets as identified in the City's Preventive Pavement Maintenance Program 2022, Summit Park Drive.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Resolution No. 2022-43 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Lesh, seconded by Alderman Nemeth to approve Resolution No. 2022-43.** Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. Alderman Nemeth stated the last time he spoke with Steve this was one of the worst subdivision streets we have. It is very exciting to get this done. This is a step in the right direction, which is why he motioned. Administrator Roth stated there is one other small street, Arbor Lane. It is his intent to add this to the project, in the form of a change order that will be brought to the board. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Opposed: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.**

- c. **Resolution No. 2022-44 A Resolution granting permission for the Pacific Partnership to provide for construction of a fence on sections of property owned by the City of Pacific.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, City Clerk Barfield read Resolution No. 2022-44 by title only. Alderman Lesh asked what the city's maintenance obligation would be regarding costs. Attorney Jones stated he was going to make a point of order. The resolution does not include the \$ 1,000 contribution. If we act on it tonight, he suggested the resolution be amended. Administrator Roth stated he had no costs tonight. When the Partnership brought this to us, he was aware they were applying and were successful. We considered it a donation to the city. Once on our property we take ownership and maintenance. This type of fence the costs should be minimal. This would be constructed to our

specification. If there is damage to the fence we would submit an insurance claim, unless just routine maintenance. This has been a point of concern due to the danger of the tracks. The fencing would help limit access and creates a barrier and is an improvement. Alderman Lesh would like to know what the additional costs would be for maintaining. **Motion made by Alderman Lesh, seconded by Alderman Eversmeyer to table Resolution No. 2022-44 to the next meeting. Mayor Filley asked for any further discussion.** Alderman Presley stated he thought this was a great project and a nice addition to the downtown area. He likes the color black that was chosen. He agrees that there would be minimum maintenance issues. Seeing the yellow and orange barriers during the last car show was detracting from how we could have been represented. This is a nice project, and the Partnership took the lead on this. He would like to move forward. He would like to amend the resolution and add the \$ 1,000. Mayor Filley stated we needed to vote on the first motion. She asked for any more discussion on the motion to table. Chief Melies stated this fence is something that he has been trying to get since he got here. They are making efforts to improve the safety of public events. This is a hazard that needs corrected. He would support this fence going up, it is in the right place and looks nice. Alderman Eversmeyer stated he seconded it for the tabling, but there was no discussion on where the \$ 1,000 was coming from, which is why he was for tabling it. He questioned where it would be budgeted from. **A roll call vote was taken on tabling Resolution No. 2022-44. Ayes: Alderman Eversmeyer, Alderman Lesh. Nays: Alderman Cleeve, Alderman Gendron, Alderman Presley. Abstain: Alderman Nemeth. Mayor Filley stated the motion to table fails 2-3 with 1 abstention.**

Mayor Filley asked for a motion to approve Resolution No. 2022-44 amended to include the \$ 1,000. Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to amend the resolution to include the \$1, 000 contribution. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A roll call vote as taken with the following results: Ayes: Alderman Lesh, Alderman Cleeve, Alderman Gendron, Alderman Presley, Alderman Eversmeyer. Nays: no. Abstain: Alderman Nemeth.

Amended Resolution No. 2022-44: Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. Alderman Eversmeyer asked where the \$ 1,000 would come from. Chief Melies thought that if the costs figured up from Public Works with the snow fencing and posts over a couple years would pay for it. Administrator Roth stated this would be a general fund reserve cost. **A roll call vote was taken with the following results: Ayes: Alderman Cleeve, Alderman Gendron, Alderman Presley, Alderman Eversmeyer, Alderman Lesh. Nays: none. Abstain: Alderman Nemeth. Mayor Filley stated with a vote of 5-0 and 1 abstention, the resolution passes.**

Mayor's Report

Nothing further to report.

City Administrator Report

His written report is in the packet. There is an Open House here on July 21st for East West Gateway over the Transportation Program. Our project from Osage south to Denton Road is included on the draft. There are also other meetings where public comments can be taken and online. He handed out a copy to the board on the dais.

Chief of Police

Board of Aldermen 7-5-2022

Chief stated he has had a lot of questions about how many calls were received over the weekend. On the 4th we received 6 calls for fireworks, which he believes is a low number.

Community Development Director Myers

Director Myers stated his report was prepared, but he didn't see it in the packet. Mayor Filley stated it was included. If there are any questions, please let me know. There was one typo this report should go to July 1st. Alderman Cleeve asked about the easements along Hwy N. There are 3 remaining property owners. He would like to know who those are after the meeting. The inspections you performed; were there any issues on. Director Myers stated he did not think so, we do what we have to do. Alderman Lesh asked about the developer that he spoke with about rezoning; who was that. Director Myers stated that was the developer before you tonight.

City Attorney Report

Nothing to report.

Collector Kelley – Mayor Filley stated she was unable to attend this evening.

Operations Committee – Alderman Evermseyer stated they will schedule a meeting and they are trying to coordinate.

Administration Committee – Alderman Nemeth stated we have not met. Hope to have a meeting next Monday.

Miscellaneous

a. Approve petty cash in the amount of \$ 35.08, for Police Department postage.

Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to approve petty cash in the amount of \$ 35.08. Mayor Filley asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Opposed: none. Abstain: none. Mayor Filley declared the motion carried.

Reports of City officials

Alderman Nemeth – The Partnership did a phenomenal job putting the car show on and the fireworks were better than he saw at Six Flags.

Alderman Cleeve – Park Board meeting tomorrow tonight at 6:00 pm. There are two presentations; one for the Skatepark and one for the pool. He recommended aldermen attend if available. Also, in the new road along B & H, there is no sidewalk included and thought it was odd there is a gap. He continued he thought there should be sidewalk. This is south of Bosse Drive. Administrator Roth stated we could, this would require easements, budget for plan and design for. Administrator Roth will look at the CID District and include in his next report.

Alderman Gendron – No report.

Board of Aldermen 7-5-2022

Alderman Presley – No report.

Alderman Eversmeyer – No report.

Alderman Lesh – Alderman Lesh stated in regards to Bill No. 5149 the terms are not addressed. As drafted, doesn't address Section 140.040 in regards to initial terms. Are we still looking into this. The number of people is being addressed, but not the terms, the terms need to be changed. Attorney Jones stated he did not think it needed to be changed because it already happened. He could re-write it but it commended in 1940. Alderman Lesh asked about the History bill, and if that section was going to be modified. Attorney Jones agreed he could bring it back, if you want, with that correction, and read as amended. Alderman Lesh asked about the History Bill. Attorney Jones stated he did draft it. Administrator Roth stated it was drafted and we discussed the roles and responsibilities as they are in the ordinance. There has been some discussion on what they will be going forward. This is unclear. He spoke to Jeff Titter, Chair of the committee. They are discussing this at their meeting on July 20th. There is also a "general membership" discussed, which there were questions. We could have moved forward with just the terms, but thought this was an opportunity to get a little more detail and flush out in the context of Red Cedar. Alderman Lesh stated the Bill was addressing members from 9 to 5 and the voting status of the liaison. Attorney Jones stated that was in the draft. The question is how to characterize the general members. Anyone who is in the audience has been recognized as a general member as opposed to a director. If we want to continue this way that is okay. Administrator Roth stated we could move forward for the narrow purpose as discussed previously, or be more comprehensive with it. Alderman Lesh stated whatever is decided, but the Board made a motion to bring the bill forward and it was approved. Alderman Lesh asked the difference between alderman representative and liaison. The Tourism Commission reads representative and another structure reads liaison. He just thought to keep consistency it would be alderman liaison. Attorney Jones stated no matter what they are called the voting capacity is what is important. Mayor Filley asked how to keep the wording the same. Attorney Jones stated he was not aware of any requirement to keep the consistency.

Adjournment

There being no further business, motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Presley to adjourn. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. The meeting adjourned at 8:26 p.m.

ATTEST:

City Clerk

Kimberly Barfull



Debra Filley
Mayor Filley