



**SEPTEMBER 6, 2022 \* RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

---

**CITY OF PACIFIC  
REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN  
300 HOVEN  
PACIFIC, MISSOURI 63069**

---

**T**he meeting was called to order at 7:10 p.m. by Acting President of the Board Nemeth. The meeting was held at City Hall. The Public was able to attend in person and was streamed live on the City of Pacific You-Tube channel. Acting President of the Board welcomed everyone to the meeting and apologized for the delay due to technical difficulties.

A roll call was taken with the following results:

Present at Roll Call: Alderman Nemeth  
Alderman Cleeve  
Alderman Gendron  
Alderman Presley  
Alderman Lesh

Also present: Administrator Roth                      Public Works Commissioner Brueggemann  
Attorney Jones                                      Director of Community Development Myers  
Chief Melies    Deputy Clerk Hayden

Absent: Mayor Filley  
Alderman Eversmeyer  
City Collector

**Pledge of Allegiance**

The Pledge of Allegiance was done.

**Prayer**

Prayer was offered this evening.

### **Approve Agenda**

**Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Lesh to approve as an amended version making a correction to Item #10 Unfinished Business by adding Tabled on “8/16/22” also. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none.**

### **Approval of Minutes**

A. Regular meeting on August 16, 2022

**Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Gendron to approve the minutes of the regular meeting on August 16, 2022. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Acting President of the Board Nemeth declared motion carried.**

### **Public Participation**

Thomas Love, 211 Candlewick, Pacific, MO stated on what is in the packet he hopes they are doing more of a study other than just tractor trailers because general traffic is a big issue there. At certain times of the day it is hard to get in and out of your driveway. There is also the problem of speeding and not stopping at the traffic sign coming out of Industrial Drive. These are the issues he has.

Daniel Conway, 3001 Spruce Street, St. Louis, MO stated he is the applicant for the rezoning of the 48 acres that will be coming up later. Wanted to reiterate today that they are a design build contractor and their integrity has been in question many times about how they will skirt the issues and not do things per code. They have built four fraternity houses in Rolla, MO. They have also built parking garages, many warehouses, buildings and they create raving fans of their work. They would not be able to do that if they were being deceptive and not working with the City, residents and not letting everybody participate. Their integrity keeps being called in question at every single meeting here and the Board kind of gets threatened and there is a lot of saber rattling going on. They follow their business with a four way test. Is it the truth, is it fair to all concerned, will it build goodwill with better friendships and when will it be beneficial to all concerned. He has come up here for several meetings and has addressed the hot buttons which is the water runoff. They have told you that they cannot add any additional runoff up until up to the 100 year storm off of the property. He has also addressed the truck traffic and the shift traffic. If you really want to address that and if you want to get it to zero, shut the road down, put a fence and a gate and an ox box for emergency vehicles. If you want to get it to zero, you can do it in 60 days. So we have addressed hot buttons of the water, traffic, shift traffic and we have brought to the Board and to the residents solutions to all of these. He really does believe that the City of Pacific can move forward and everybody can coexist. They are putting a 50' tree buffer on the north and west side of the development. There is also common ground in the Westlake area. A lot of the areas will almost have 100' buffer and they are setting aside 2 ½ acres of forested area. He believes this is more than reasonable and fair to try and get everybody

to coexist. He believes there will be more traffic noise and general noise off of Hwy. N to those properties than you are going to have with this developer park. They have worked with the Board, worked with the residents, have met with all the residents afterwards and they are there to solve problems to get everything so we can coexist and the City of Pacific can move forward and it is fair a reasonable to all. They are not looking for a vote tonight, but would like to see this issue get resolved on the 20<sup>th</sup>.

Barbara Alt, 1951 Patricia Lane, Pacific, MO stated she is one of the four owners of the 48 acres that everyone is concerned about. The decision on rezoning their 48 acres of farmland from non-urban to light industrial is an important one. During this period that this ordinance has been pending they have heard several questions and issues come up. Most notably around traffic handling and water runoff. She thinks the true issue here is one of integrity and trust. A few voices have said very loudly that they want detailed information on everything from traffic studies and water flow to the names of tenants for each building before they think this ordinance should be rezoned. She believes these concerns stem from questions of integrity and trust. She believes it is appropriate for the City to do comprehensive traffic studies and make some changes. This should have been done years ago and updated regularly as Pacific grows. She hopes that becomes the City's mode of operation, but need has little to do with this zoning decision. She feels it is appropriate for the developer to be held responsible for following laws and best practices about handling water flow as the project progresses. The responsibility for all this falls mostly in the later stages of the process. It is also appropriate for the citizens of Pacific to demand that the developer, the Board of Aldermen, and any other City officials follow the laws related to subdivision, planning to code, and any other processes throughout the project. Her family's experience with prior P&Z initiatives is that the City does this, and they are confident this board will do so as well – especially given that this project is entirely in line with the City's Master Plan that has been there for years. At the last meeting she filled everyone in on the background there. People planned for this years ago. Twelve years ago she tried to divide that piece of property and the City insisted it was going to be developed and made her buy a spike strip which she later donated to the City. Then they made her put in a road easement which cost her a bunch in surveys and attorney fees. What is not appropriate is to attempt to stop the rezoning by using misinformation. Things like referring to conceptual drawings that are entirely appropriate for this stage of the project as “just pictures” that don't mean anything and saying things like “the developers can do whatever they want once the zoning is approved”. Those comments are misinformation. She believes there are 3 reasons why people would say these things. First, lack of understanding of how P&Z processes for developments work. That is entirely reasonable, and she would argue it is the City's job to educate concerned citizens on how these processes work, not just for this project, but for any project. Second, lack of trust due to prior projects being approved that people feel are not in line with the law. This may be a valid point, especially when considering the questions about the Manors at Brush Creek. Here's the thing, the people responsible for that controversy are mostly no longer on the board. She believes the political process worked and our city put forth new leaders who will follow the law. Third, lack of integrity to admit their own motives for opposing rezoning. The developer and her children and herself stand to gain financially from this deal. A for-profit enterprise wants to purchase land for a business venture. That is not a secret. What people might not realize is that the loudest voices against this development have their own motives that are questionable. They'd like to see a development that would provide many jobs to the area and that is directly in

line with the City's master plan fail so that they can have their property rural and the property behind them rural. The main thing is what is best for the City? Is it following the wishes of a few that wants to see the land remain rural? Or is it following the Master Plan, rezoning this property light industrial and trusting the developer and the City leaders will ensure this turns into a positive development? She believes the City leaders can be trusted with this decision. She believes they will enforce the local, state and federal laws. She also believes the General Contractor, Dan Conway, can be trusted. She has no doubt he will conform to the law. She believes we can trust our City officials and Mr. Conway and would like us to move forward.

Jeff Hawley, 146 Chippenham Lane, Chesterfield, MO stated he is with a company called Block Hawley and he is one of the two development team members that has proposed this. Just wanted everyone to know that they are not there to create problems in the City of Pacific. They are there to obviously make money, but they are also here to help grow the City. He has been doing this for 36 years and has done this for other municipalities within St. Louis City as well as St. Louis County and really exclusively, industrial property. He wants to reiterate the fact, and he thinks the City Attorney probably understands, we are just here to get this property rezoned. He has been here almost every single meeting and this has kind of taken it's own course. People are concerned. There are existing flooding problems with other properties that surround here. He has actually met with Steve Roth and Steve Myers. His partner and himself sat down with them. His understanding is that the City has master planned this for quit some time as light industrial and that is what they were looking for. So they went forward with the application. Obviously, there are going to be people that could let this turn into a huge fiasco. The fact of the matter is that he has a responsibility. He has a reputation in St. Louis and has been doing this a long long time and we don't want to make more enemies. We want to try and help the City manage what he considers to be, a major engineering issue that is really probably more due to things changing over the past 30 to 40 years. He discussed with both Steve Roth and Steve Myers the idea of even doing a CID, trying to help the people in Westlake, trying to help the people around. We have tried to compromise as much as we can. We all know, you have a process and we have to follow it. So we intend fully to follow it. We can't build anything without those drawings being fully engineered and approved by the City of Pacific. We just want you to understand that we are here to help, not here to hinder. We want to see the City grow. Obviously, if that is not what the City wants, they will tell them in a couple of weeks when they vote on it.

Gary Meadows, 2010 Hwy. N, Pacific, MO stated what he gathers from Mr. Conway and Mrs. Alt's remarks, you can trust Dan Conway and you can trust Barbara, but if you are against the rezoning then you can't be trusted because you probably don't understand things, you are untrustworthy or you lack integrity about your own motives. Let's be clear, one of the reasons he is opposed to the zoning is because they haven't done their homework in terms of addressing the major issue out there which is the water issue. There have been plenty of opportunity for the developer or the applicant to get a certain water study done to be able to demonstrate to the board what they are proposing is not going to cause any further problems. They have not done that and it is clear that they don't intend to do that. He did some rough calculations earlier today and if they hardscape 80-85% of that property, that is about 38M gallons of water that has to find somewhere to go. He has not heard anyone explain where that is going to go. Where do you think it will go? He believes it will go to the neighboring property. They can say all they want

that they have to comply with the rules. He is a lawyer for those of you who don't already know that. He sees plenty of people who have good intentions at following the rules and when it goes badly, they will stand up and say I'm bad I'll take care of all of it. No they don't. Then it turns into a huge fight and sometimes damage can't be undone. He has been in some of those cases. He has seen people lose their homes which are homes basically under water 100% of the time. He has actually seen people trying to live in those homes while they are trying to fix the legal problems. The reality is, all good intentions aside, doesn't mean you don't have to do your homework. The other fact that he knows is that they may or may not have to come back before this board when they do development. If they subdivide then he believes they have to. If they choose not to go down that path, then they don't have to. They can just work with the staff and get building permits and just start doing whatever they are going to do without this board ever having a voice in what they are doing. Bottom line on this, if they genuinely care about the water issues, they should do a surface water study or the board should require them to do a surface water study at their expense. They can show where those 38M gallons of water are going to go – is it going to raise the ground water levels. Another point Mr. Conway said was he has 50' barriers and they are leaving 2 ½ acres clear, what he didn't say is that part of the land they are clearing is where the creek actually runs. So, he does not know what they do when you just basically terminate the creek right off of his property leading to Westlake. Mr. Conway hasn't explained it. This is why the board should require them to do a study. If you really want to protect the neighbors that live down there and the City from any future problems about water, get the information on the front end because you may never see them on the back end if they decide they never will or want to come back here.

Jan Jones, 201 Candlewick Drive, Pacific, MO stated her concern is the traffic. Yes, they have the truck traffic but what about the traffic that comes with the workers. These places don't open at 6 in the morning. They start at 4 or 5 in the morning and the traffic is coming by their homes. She tries to walk her dogs at 6-6:30 and it is terrible out there. It is not fair to the citizens that live down this road. She hasn't seen anyone come down their roads and talk to the people who live there. If they can close off the road, that would be great. There was something that Tom was showing her about buses. What buses use that road? School buses don't come down that road, they stop at the end of it. The only buses she has seen have been from Clancy. She hopes the board considers doing something for the people who live there.

### **New Bills**

#### **Bill 5157 An Ordinance repealing parking restrictions on Union Street. (1<sup>st</sup> reading)**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, Attorney Jones read Bill No. 5157 for the first reading. Acting President of the Board asked for a sponsor. Alderman Presley state he would sponsor Bill No. 5157.

### **Consideration of Bills Previously Introduced**

#### **Bill No. 5156 An Ordinance prohibiting the occupancy of structures deemed unfit for human habitation. (2<sup>nd</sup> reading) Sponsor: Nemeth**

**Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Gendron to approve Bill No.**

**5156.** Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion. Alderman Cleeve stated that in the ordinance it basically says that you cannot have any kind of campers or anything like that. He understands the intent. What he worries about is someone who is building a home and is living in a camper for the 6-9 months it takes them to build the home. He doesn't want us to restrict peoples use of their property. He assumes he understands the intent of it, but that part of the ordinance is an issue for him. Alderman Lesh stated he spoke with the Chief who he feels gave good clarification on it. There does seem to be a need for it which is important to understand. He did take some calls from residents who are concerned too with it being their property and now they are being restricted. Alderman Lesh stated that Chief told him that in those instances, they may have to change something, put in a permit process for people who have friends over from out of town that may be staying for a week or so. Alderman Lesh feels the bill is good, given the problems the Chief pointed out and feels it needs to be looked at further by sending it to a committee. This way we can change some things and still protect the rights of the property owners and their use of their land and without unduly inconveniencing them.

Discussion followed on which way to handle this. Chief Melies stated he appreciates the concern for those who want to use their property for a variety of housing situations. However, he would ask the City Attorney if a permit to allow someone living in their trailer would trump zoning rules in the City. If we are not zoned for an RV park, how can we have people living in RV's. Attorney Jones stated that we do have very comprehensive ordinances with regard to mobile homes and manufactured homes. They cannot be located anywhere except in approved mobile home parks. What we are really trying to get at here is using a structure that doesn't have drinking water or basic things that are used for human sustainment. Discussion followed.

**Motion made by Alderman Gendron, seconded by Alderman Presley to remove their motions for approval. Motion made by Alderman Lesh, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to send this to the Operations Committee for review and amendment. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Acting President of the Board Nemeth declared motion carried.** Acting President of the Board Nemeth stated that he wanted it noted to make sure Chief Melies is present at that meeting so he can help review the bill.

**Unfinished Business**

**Bill No. 5150 An Ordinance approving a Zoning Change (Map Amendment) from NU Non-Urban to M-1 Light Industrial for two tracts of land totaling approximately 48 acres and being generally described as Lot 1, Alt Place, Franklin County Parcel ID 19-6-14.-0-0-034-036.20 (8-acre tract) and Franklin County Parcel ID 19-6-14.0-0-099-035.100 (40-acre tract) being generally located at Industrial Drive, Pacific, Missouri. (2<sup>nd</sup> reading) Sponsor: Eversmeyer. Tabled 7-19-22, 8-2-22, 8-16-22.**

**Motion made by Alderman Lesh, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to pick this item up off the table to ask the applicant a question. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none.** Alderman Lesh called on Daniel Conway to come forward so he could ask him a question. Alderman Lesh stated the conceptual plan is for the main route going in there and is dependent on acquiring further road easement. One of the plans showed the 90-degree turn, and if it is left as is and therefore to eliminate that problem was discussed, you need that easement. His question is do you have that in the works. Is that what we're going to be

presented with that you will give to administration and we will see this in our packet and we will have this information ahead of the next meeting. Mr. Conway stated it has been discussed. It is in the works. It is something they can look at and develop for you and present before the next meeting if you would like. They have had discussions with the property owner and we can make it work without it, it's not the best, but we've had discussions with the property owner to acquire it. Alderman Lesh stated so if you don't get additional land and making it work would involve that area which could be that 90-degree turn. Mr. Conway stated not quite a 90 degree turn but very close. Alderman Lesh asked if there was any option B for entry into that parcel if it does not work out. Mr. Conway stated that was pretty much it right now. They may be able to move the road or actually there is some ground to the east. If they have to look at another entry point in , there is another piece of ground to the west that they can try to acquire. Alderman Cleeve said something that has come up several times was the creek that is going behind the Meadows property. Alderman Cleeve asked if there was any plan to deal with that creek. Mr. Conway stated that is part of the property and will be set aside. Mr. Meadows has a piece of property that has a creek on 2 sides of it. That northwest corner they are going to just set aside and that creek is going to run just like it is now. They can't add any additional runoff to it that is presently going so they will just let it run like it is. Whatever issues exist now are going to continue to exist. They know how much detention they have to put in. They are not 100% sure if they will put in one basin on the north side or it may be 2 or 3. Per the engineering regulations that are in place that they have to provide for the City of Pacific and they can't add any additional runoff up until the 100 year storm. They will abide by the regulations. Alderman Cleeve stated so you have no intention of disturbing that creek. Mr. Conway stated not at this time. They may be encroaching some of the slopes, but will keep the natural flow of that creek alone. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Presley to table Bill No. 5150. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Acting President of the Board Nemeth declared the motion carried.**

### New Business

**Resolution No. 2022-56 A Resolution authorizing and directing the Mayor to execute an Agreement with George L. Crawford & Associates, dba CBB, for Professional Services relating to Traffic Engineering Studies of commercial truck traffic in the City of Pacific.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, Attorney Jones read Resolution No. 2022-56 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Gendron to approve Resolution No. 2022-56. Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion.** Alderman Cleeve wanted to make sure that this is looking at traffic counts and not just truck counts. On #2 it says "for vehicle classification (i.e., passenger cars, light trucks, and articulated trucks)". Down at #3 it says at the end of the sentence "existing traffic counts and truck usage". So to him that says cars and trucks. If he is not reading that right then he needs it to say all traffic. Number 1 has existing truck signage. Number 3 they say existing passenger trucks and truck usage. Administrator Roth stated he can confirm with the consultant that the study is for vehicles, trucks and articulated trucks, which is the large trucks whether they be tractor trailer or box trucks and #3 addresses all traffic. Acting President of the Board Nemeth said Phase I should say existing traffic study and not truck. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve amended vote to approve pending Administrator Roth getting clarification that it does indeed count all traffic,**

**seconded by Alderman Gendron. Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for anymore discussion.** Alderman Lesh stated on the signage part, there was a comment from Mr. Brueggemann about MoDot having information. Mr. Brueggemann said along Osage and 1<sup>st</sup>. Alderman Lesh asked him his recommendation as to where to place signage. Administrator Roth stated the scope of this is to focus on Candlewick Lane. The locations were selected so they know the trucks that get any virility. Currently we have a truck route that doesn't exist. The bridge is out. At the end of the day there is a non-existent truck route for the city. Anything that we count now without signage, enforcement and bridge out won't do us any good. Discussion followed on this if they should hold off on this until all is placed together. Alderman Nemeth believes it is a waste of money, because right now, the trucks can go anywhere. No matter where they are coming from or how they are getting there, we currently closed our truck route. Feels against using the citizen's funds for a study telling us that trucks are all over the city. There is a valid reason for this because the truck route is closed. Alderman Nemeth stated we should do nothing now, but when they get closer with signage, education and enforcement when the bridge is reopened which will be about a year then bring up again. Discussion followed. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Gendron to rescind their motions to approve.** Administrator Roth stated they will remove the bill from future agendas.

**Resolution 2022-57 A Resolution authorizing and approving the purchase of certain budgeted equipment for the Pacific Public Works Department.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, Attorney Jones read Resolution 2022-57 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve Resolution 2022-57.** Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Acting President of the Board Nemeth declared the motion carried.**

**Resolution 2022-58 A Resolution authorizing and approving an increase in the hourly wage rate for a Public Works Employee who has met certain conditions of the Public Works Collective Bargaining Agreement.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, Attorney Jones read Resolution 2022-58 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Lesh to approve Resolution 2022-58.** Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Acting President of the Board Nemeth declared the motion carried.**

**Resolution 2022-59 A Resolution authorizing payment of Two-Thousand Dollars for certain event management services necessary for the 2022 Iron Horse Rodeo.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, Attorney Jones read Resolution 2022-59 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Gendron, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve Resolution 2022-59.** Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: Alderman Nemeth. Acting President of the Board Nemeth declared the motion carried.**

**Resolution 2022-60 A Resolution authorizing and directing the Mayor to execute Change Order Agreements with Jokerst Inc. for asphalt resurfacing of Arbor Lane and construction of a section of Lamar Parkway sidewalk in the City of Pacific.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, Attorney Jones read Resolution 2022-60 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Lesh to approve Resolution 2022-60.** Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Acting President of the Board Nemeth declared the motion carried.**

**Resolution 2022-61 A Resolution authorizing and approving a proposal to create a Children’s Museum Exhibit within the Red Cedar Inn Welcome Center and Museum Facility; and authorizing certain expenditures necessary thereto.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, Attorney Jones read Resolution 2022-61 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Lesh, seconded by Alderman Presley to approve Resolution 2022-61.** Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion. Alderman Gendron asked if this was coming out of the existing museum/exhibit funds. Acting President of the Board Nemeth stated yes it is. It is not an additional spend. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Acting President of the Board Nemeth declared the motion carried.**

**Resolution 2022-62 A Resolution authorizing and approving a proposal to install electric service at the Liberty Field Rodeo Arena.**

As posted pursuant to the ordinance, Attorney Jones read Resolution 2022-57 by title only. **Motion made by Alderman Presley, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to approve Resolution 2022-62.** Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion. Administrator Roth stated he knows this is very late and if the board approves, they will move as quickly as possible. Community Director Myers stated the contractor has a window of opportunity that we hope could have work done between now and the rodeo which is September 30. Time is of the essence here. He appreciates everyone’s patience as they try and get this done. It may make it for this season or it may not. They will keep the pedal down and try and get it done. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Acting President of the Board Nemeth declared the motion carried.**

**Mayor Report**

None

**City Administrator Report**

None

**Chief of Police Report**

None

### **Public Works Commissioner Report**

Stated he sent out his report last week and if they have questions, just let him know. Thanked the Board for passing Resolution 2022-57 and 2022-58. Alderman Cleeve asked if there was any other movement on the pond. PW Commissioner said no, not yet but is on the list. He would like to move forward with updating some of the truck signs. Acting President of the Board Nemeth stated he is worried about updating something that they can't follow anyway. PW Commissioner stated but it doesn't hurt. Acting President of the Board Nemeth said go ahead and do what they need to do.

### **Director of Community Development Report**

Apologized for not getting his report in the packets, but was gone to the Governor's conference on economic development. Stated the City also had an illness in the building department and he is doing much better and back in the swing. As they can see on his report he handed the Board, the Red Cedar updates are moving along. They hope to have a key to the building and be done with the construction phase by the end of the month. He will have a more thorough report for them next time.

### **City Attorney Report**

None

### **Operations Committee Report**

Administrator Roth stated the next meeting is set up for September 23, 2022.

### **Administrative Committee Report**

None

### **Miscellaneous**

- a. Approve Change Order 33, Red Cedar signage "Option E," in the amount of \$2,270.00.  
**Motion made by Alderman Presley to approve, no second motion made. Acting President of the Board Nemeth said this has died with no second motion.**
- b. Approve Pacific High School Homecoming Parade Special Event Permit on Friday, October 2, 2022. Administrator Roth stated the route has changed. The application in the packet still had 1<sup>st</sup> Street, instead they want to use 2<sup>nd</sup> Street. The revised application was set out on dais. Chief Melies stated the parade has been moved to a Friday at 3 pm.  
**Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Gendron to approve. Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Motion carried.**

- c. Approve Special Liquor License for the Cigar & Cocktail Lounge, 115 N. First St., for liquor for sale by the drink on October 14, 2002 for Witches and Warlocks. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Lesh to approve.** Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Motion carried.**
- d. Approve payment of Invoice 2 and Invoice 3, Derek Prior Design, Inc. in the amounts of \$8,100 and \$12,500. **Motion made by Alderman Cleeve, seconded by Alderman Gendron to approve.** Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked for any discussion. **A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Motion carried.**

### Reports of City Officials

Alderman Nemeth – no report.

Alderman Cleeve – has been questioned on the enforcement of tractor trailers and things like that on Hwy. N & Candlewick. Our truck route is broken, so if we try to enforce it, there is nowhere for the trucks to go at this point. Chief Melies stated he talked with some of the officers and they are checking to get the complete statistics, but there has been enforcement on Candlewick on occasion. They have had some officers down there and have issued a few tickets or addressed violators when they are on patrol. We already addressed the out of date signage. The restriction on Candlewick is just a weight restriction of 18,000 lbs. and that is not a very high weight for a truck. It is tough to write tickets when signage isn't there to direct them. Alderman Cleeve stated that once we get signage and route fixed then the Chief as options.

Alderman Gendron – no report.

Alderman Presley – no report.

Alderman Lesh – wanted to thank Commissioner Brueggemann for the Manor's of Brush Creek asking him to get road cleaned up. As they enter a new phase, there is more of a need to keep them cleaned up. Now he is getting calls on worker's parking on that turn lane. He went and looked for no parking signs. He stated as you are headed south bound it is way down by the bridge and that is the only one on Lamar. There are two on the other side that are obstructed by the view of the banners for the subdivision that are up. Would also like to have the road marked for the left turn as soon as we can because people are pulling up to the side of cars. Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked if we even have an ordinance for this for Lamar Parkway. Attorney Jones stated no parking is on west side from Osage to Rose. Doesn't seem to be one from Rose to Old Gray Summit. The only restriction is limited parking. Acting President of the Board Nemeth asked if we could have a draft at next meeting for parking restrictions. Chief Melies stated there are the 3 bump outs for delivery and pick up only so we would need loading zone added. **Motion made by Alderman Lesh, seconded by Alderman Cleeve to have Attorney Jones draft a no parking ordinance**

**from Old Gray Summit Road to Lamar east and west side and clarifying the loading zones. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. Nays: none. Abstain: none. Acting President of the Board Nemeth declared the motion carried.**

**Adjournment**

There being no further business, motion made by Alderman Lesh, seconded by Alderman Gendron to adjourn. A voice vote was taken with an affirmative result. The meeting adjourned at 8:45 p.m.

---

Mayor Filley

ATTEST:

---

City Clerk